



# Exhibit 4



THE JOURNAL OF  
**ALLERGY**  
AND  
**CLINICAL**  
**IMMUNOLOGY**

VOL. 91, NO. 1, PART 2  
**JANUARY 1993**

**FIFTIETH ANNIVERSARY OF  
THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF  
ALLERGY AND IMMUNOLOGY**

Program and abstracts of papers to be  
presented during scientific sessions  
49th Annual Meeting  
March 12-17, 1993



*Supported by an educational grant from  
Allen & Hanburys, Division of Glaxo Inc.  
Research Triangle Park, N.C.*

Published monthly by Mosby-Year Book, Inc.

ISSN 0091-6749



**W-10**

# **Allergic Fungal Sinusitis**

**William K. Dolen, MD**

**Allergic fungal sinusitis**  
 William K. Dolen, MD  
 ACAAI Annual Meeting 2002

**Objectives:**

1. Discuss various forms of fungal sinusitis, with emphasis on the differing clinical presentations.
2. Discuss diagnostic criteria and treatment options for AFS
3. Explain why the team of an allergist, otolaryngologist, pathologist, mycologist and radiologist is essential in the evaluation and management of patients with suspected AFS
4. Identify issues in pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and followup that warrant further investigation.

**I. Fungal sinusitis**

- A. First described in the 18th century
  - invasive infection
  - indolent infection
  - mycetoma
  - allergic fungal sinusitis (AFS)
- B. Allergic fungal sinusitis
  - reported as a distinct entity only in the past 20 years.
  - most patients are age 10-60 years
  - nasal polyposis, chronic sinusitis, and sometimes asthma
  - some have concomitant allergic bronchopulmonary mycosis
  - long history of rhinitis or asthma
  - positive skin tests to multiple inhalant allergens in addition to the fungi
  - prevalence in patients requiring surgery for chronic sinusitis estimated at about 6%

**II. Etiology, pathogenesis**

- A. AFS is considered to be a Type I hypersensitivity response to fungi, with both clinical and histological similarities to allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis.
- B. Because of the association of eosinophilic inflammation, it may be considered a subcategory of chronic eosinophilic hyperplastic sinusitis.
- C. Most organisms implicated by culture of allergic mucin are in the Deuteromycetes class and the Moniliales order.
- D. The prototype organisms in the Moniliaceae are in the genus *Aspergillus*; these are the same organisms implicated in ABPA. Other Moniliaceae include *Penicillium*, *Gliocladium*, *Monilia*, *Cephalosporium*, *Paecilomyces*, and *Trichoderma* species.
- E. The majority of fungi isolated belong to the Dematiaceae family. The fungal genera in this family (which contain melanin in their cell walls) commonly reported in AFS include *Dreschlera*, *Curvularia*, and *Bipolaris*; other genera in this family include *Alternaria*, *Stemphylium*, *Spondylocladium*, *Helminthosporium*, *Cladosporium*, and *Aureobasidium*. Their role in pathogenesis is assumed, but has never been proven.
- F. Manning et al. cultured dematiaceous fungi from 16 of 24 AFS patients. *Bipolaris spicifera* was the organism most commonly encountered. Fifteen subjects demonstrated at least one positive in vitro test for specific IgE to the dematiaceous fungi, and 12 were positive to all 3 dematiaceous fungi tested. Five control patients with negative histology and cultures for fungi did not demonstrate fungal specific IgE. In a series of 11 patients at this center meeting the above strict criteria for diagnosis of AFS, 3 were culture positive for *Alternaria alternata* or another *Alternaria* species, 3 were culture positive for *Bipolaris* species, 2 to *Curvularia lunata*, and one each to *Fusarium* species, *Penicillium funiculosum*, and *Cladosporium herbarum*.
- G. Many of these are important plant pathogens that are also found in soil and in general aeroallergen sampling.
- H. Review of the literature is complicated by use of various fungal classification schemes. The nomenclature used by allergen extract companies is different from that used by mycologists. Fulfilling the diagnostic criterion that patients should have demonstrated IgE-mediated allergy to the organism cultured from allergic mucin is difficult because only a limited number of testing materials is available. For instance, the imperfect state of *Cochliobolus spicifer* may be termed

*Bipolaris spicifera* or *Drechslera spicifera* by different authors. An extract for diagnostic testing is not commercially available; in previous studies at this center and elsewhere, extracts have been prepared on premises. While allergenic differences between genera might be expected, species differences in allergenicity should be assumed until proven otherwise. Furthermore, many fungal allergens are digestive enzymes, and a single fungal strain may produce differing allergen profiles depending on culture conditions. The available extracts are not standardized, and only a few have characterized allergens. Nonetheless, patients typically demonstrate reactivity to extracts from many different fungi, as well as other inhalants.

- I. Presumably, the spores of common environmental fungi enter the sinuses and proliferate, producing fungal specific IgE (and perhaps IgG) responses and ensuing eosinophilic inflammation.
- J. Data from this center strongly implicate *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium* sp. as major causative agents on the basis of histologic examination of allergic mucin and testing for specific IgE, including recombinant *Aspergillus fumigatus* allergens (McCann, 2002). If these findings hold up prospectively, AFS may even more analogous to ABPA than currently thought.
- K. The etiology of ongoing postoperative eosinophilic inflammation has not been determined. Hypotheses are: 1) Fungi remain in the sinuses postoperatively and regrow, driving the continued inflammatory process; 2) Continued exposure to environmental fungi results in postoperatively recolonization if the sinuses; 3) The initial IgE response to fungal allergens results in production of an IgE antibody that also recognizes nonfungal protein(s) present in the sinus cavities.
- L. At this center, Chrzanowski demonstrated by IgE immunoblotting that sera from the 11 patients studied recognized an 18 kD protein present in the fungal extracts, perhaps accounting for the clinical observation that patients typically have broad fungal skin test reactivity. A similar molecular weight protein in autologous allergic mucin was recognized by only 4/11 patients in IgE immunoblotting. Unexpectedly, sera from all 11 patients consistently recognized 35-50 kD bands in allergic mucin, corresponding with a band in a fungal extract in only one patient. The identity of these proteins has not been determined, but sera from 2 of 3 arbitrarily selected AFS patients recognized 35-50 kD bands in both allergic mucin and a human epithelial extract. Results of this study suggest that skin test reactivity to a multitude of fungal extracts might be due to a low molecular weight fungal panallergen and raise the question of whether an ongoing IgE response to a human protein accounts for the difficulty in managing patients postoperatively.

### III. Diagnosis

A. Presumptive diagnosis is made from history and physical examination, the finding of nasal polyps, and characteristic CT findings. The finding of peripheral eosinophilia, and elevated serum total IgE adds weight to the diagnosis. Preoperative steroid therapy (e.g., for nasal polyposis) may make diagnosis difficult.

B. Diagnosis is confirmed by the finding at surgery of typical brown, peanut-butter-like "allergic mucin", with its characteristic eosinophils, Charcot-Leyden crystals, and fungal hyphae. There must be no evidence of tissue invasion on examination of mucin and mucosa. Culture identification of a fungus, and the finding of specific IgE (by skin testing or in vitro assay) and specific IgG (by gel diffusion or immunoassay) antibodies to fungi further support the diagnosis.<sup>10, 11</sup>

#### C. Minimal diagnostic criteria (Bent and Kuhn)

1. Nasal polyps
2. Characteristic CT findings
3. Allergy (immediate hypersensitivity) by skin testing or specific IgE immunoassay
4. Allergic mucin
5. Positive fungal stain of allergic mucin

#### D. MCG "strict" research criteria (5 of the following 6 criteria for a "firm" diagnosis)<sup>13</sup>

1. Chronic sinusitis – characteristic CT findings (essential)
2. Allergic mucin with fungal hyphae, eosinophils, and Charcot-Leyden crystals; no tissue invasion (essential)
3. Positive fungal culture of allergic mucin from the sinus
4. Presence of specific IgE

5. Elevated total serum IgE ( $>150$  IU/mL)
6. History and physical examination do not suggest another etiology

E. Mayo clinic case definition (Ponikau 1999)

1. Chronic rhinosinusitis confirmed by CT
2. Presence of allergic mucin (eosinophils and their "degenerated by-products")

IV. Utility of laboratory tests

A. Unlike allergic bronchopulmonary mycosis, serial measurement of serum total IgE has not consistently been of value in predicting clinical exacerbations or monitoring therapy.

B. Levels of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), a marker of eosinophil activation, are elevated in allergic mucin of nearly all patients and in the serum of some; preliminary data (not yet prospectively confirmed) suggest that mucin ECP level  $> 7000$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  correlates with disease activity in some patients.

V. Evaluation

A. Collaboration essential

B. Initial evaluation

- Detailed medical history and physical examination with special emphasis on rhinosinusitis (how was sinusitis documented?), recurrent infections, pulmonary disease, ASA sensitivity. Details of prior therapy, including antibiotics and immunotherapy
- Allergy testing to broad panel of pollens, dust mites, danders, fungi
- CBC with diff, ESR, chem. Panel, total IgE level
- Upper airway endoscopy
- Sinus CT – notify radiologist that AFS is suspected
- Consider: CXR or chest CT, sinus MRI, spirometry, sweat chloride, CF genotyping, immunoglobulin levels, anergy testing, other screening tests for immunodeficiency

C. At surgery

- Notify pathologist that AFS is suspected; ask pathologist to report gross description or specimen, H&E stains of mucin and mucosa, specifically commenting on presence or absence of tissue invasion, hyphae, eosinophils, Charcot-Leyden crystals. A pathologist expert in the visual identification of fungi in situ in tissues should be asked to review the GMS slide.
- Sample of mucin inoculated into duplicate Sabouraud tubes in the operating room. One tube sent to clinical lab, the other to an expert mycologist.

D. Followup

- Interim history and examination
- Endoscopic staging using Kupferberg-Kuhn criteria
- Consider additional testing

Management

A. Surgical drainage of the affected sinuses. This leaves large antral windows and marsupialized ethmoid sinuses that can be used for endoscopic followup.

B. Kupferberg-Kuhn staging criteria. Sinuses are visualized by rigid endoscopy.

Stage 0: no mucosal edema or allergic mucin

Stage 1: Mucosal edema with or without allergic mucin

Stage 2: Polypoid edema with or without allergic mucin

Stage 3: Sinus polyps with fungal debris/mucin

C. Topical or systemic corticosteroids. Prednisone 40 mg (0.4 – 0.6 mg/kg/day) daily for 4 days, 30 mg (0.3 – 0.4 mg/kg/day) daily for 4 days, 20 mg (0.2 mg/kg/day) daily for one month used postoperatively at this center. Dosage tapered in followup, using Kupferberg-Kuhn criteria, keeping sinuses at Stage 0. Dosages less than 15 mg alternate day generally leading to recurrence.

There are no clear guidelines for adjusting steroid taper without endoscopic guidance. Corticosteroids given preoperatively may make diagnosis difficult; when possible, this therapy should be withheld until the diagnosis is established.

D. Alternative treatments

- Topical intranasal steroids: Kuhn 2000.

- Antileukotrienes: Schubert 2001
  - Immunotherapy: increasing evidence that IT may delay recurrence (Bassichis 2001). Standardized extracts not available, thus dose-ranging studies not possible. No double-blind, placebo-controlled trials.
  - Environmental control
  - Topical or systemic antifungal agents: literature in conflict
  - Anti-IgE, anti-cytokine therapy: untested
- E. Long-term remission following surgery, although reported, seems to be rare

## VI. References

### Older articles

1. Millar JW, Johnston A, Lamb B. Allergic aspergillosis of the maxillary sinuses. *Thorax* 1981;36:710.
2. Katzenstein AA, Sale SR, Greenberger PA. Allergic aspergillus sinusitis: a newly recognized form of sinusitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1983;72:89-93.
3. Gourley D, Whisman B, Jorgensen N, Martin M, Reid M. Allergic Bipolaris sinusitis: clinical and immunopathologic characteristics. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1990;85:583-91.
4. Manning SC, Mabry RL, Schaefer SD, Close LG. Evidence of IgE-mediated hypersensitivity in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Laryngoscope* 1993;103:717-21.
5. deShazo RD, Swain RE. Diagnostic criteria for allergic fungal sinusitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1995;96:24-35.

### Review articles

1. Greenberger PA. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis, allergic fungal sinusitis, and hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Clinical Allergy & Immunology*. 2002; 16:449-68.
2. Schubert MS. Fungal rhinosinusitis: diagnosis and therapy. *Current Allergy & Asthma Reports*. 2001; 1:268-76.
3. Clarke SR, Kreutziger KL. Allergic fungal sinusitis. *Journal of the Louisiana State Medical Society*. 1998; 150:248-52.
4. deShazo RD. Fungal sinusitis. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences*. 1998; 316:39-45.
5. Schwartz HJ. Allergic fungal sinusitis: experience in an ambulatory allergy practice. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 1996; 77:500-2.
6. Bent JP, 3rd, Kuhn FA. Allergic fungal sinusitis/polypoidosis. *Allergy & Asthma Proceedings*. 1996; 17:259-68. Morpeth JF, Rupp NT, Dolen WK, Bent JP, Kuhn FA. Fungal sinusitis: an update. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 1996; 76:128-39; quiz 39-40.
7. Schwietz L, Gourley D. Allergic fungal sinusitis. *Allergy Proc* 1992;13:3-6.
8. Goldstein MF. Allergic fungal sinusitis: an underdiagnosed problem. *Hosp Practice* 1992;June 15:73-92.

### Clinical aspects

1. Schubert MS, Goetz DW. Evaluation and treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis. I. Demographics and diagnosis. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*. 1998; 102:387-94.
2. Schubert MS, Goetz DW. Evaluation and treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis. II. Treatment and follow-up. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*. 1998; 102:395-402.
3. McClay JE, Marple B, Kapadia L, Biavati MJ, Nussenbaum B, Newcomer M, et al. Clinical presentation of allergic fungal sinusitis in children. *Laryngoscope*. 2002; 112:565-9.
4. Kupferberg SB, Bent JP. Allergic fungal sinusitis in the pediatric population. *Archives of Otolaryngology -- Head & Neck Surgery*. 1996; 122:1381-4.
5. Muntz HR. Allergic fungal sinusitis in children. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 1996; 29:185-22.

6. Torres C, Ro JY, el-Naggar AK, Sim SJ, Weber RS, Ayala AG. Allergic fungal sinusitis: a clinicopathologic study of 16 cases. *Human Pathology*. 1996; 27:793-9.
7. Chhabra A, Handa KK, Chakrabarti A, Mann SB, Panda N. Allergic fungal sinusitis: clinicopathological characteristics. *Mycoses*. 1996; 39:437-41.
8. Leonard CT, Berry GJ, Ruoss SJ. Nasal-pulmonary relations in allergic fungal sinusitis and bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology*. 2001; 21:5-15.
9. Chang WJ, Tse DT, Bressler KL, Casiano RR, Rosa RH, Johnson TE. Diagnosis and management of allergic fungal sinusitis with orbital involvement. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2000; 16:72-4.
10. Carter KD, Graham SM, Carpenter KM. Ophthalmic manifestations of allergic fungal sinusitis. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 1999; 127:189-95.
11. Marple BF, Gibbs SR, Newcomer MT, Mabry RL. Allergic fungal sinusitis-induced visual loss. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 1999; 13:191-5.
12. Klapper SR, Patrinely JR. Orbital involvement in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2001; 17:149-51.
13. Chang WJ, Shields CL, Shields JA, DePotter PV, Schiffman R, Eagle RC, Jr., et al. Bilateral orbital involvement with massive allergic fungal sinusitis. *Archives of Ophthalmology*. 1996; 114:767-8.
14. Klapper SR, Lee AG, Patrinely JR, Stewart M, Alford EL. Orbital involvement in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Ophthalmology*. 1997; 104:2094-100.
15. Kinsella JB, Rassekh CH, Bradfield JL, Chaljub G, McNees SW, Gourley WK, et al. Allergic fungal sinusitis with cranial base erosion. *Head & Neck*. 1996; 18:211-7.

### Classification

1. Ferguson BJ. Eosinophilic mucin rhinosinusitis: a distinct clinicopathological entity. *Laryngoscope*. 2000; 110:799-813.
2. Lara JF, Gomez JD. Allergic mucin with and without fungus: a comparative clinicopathologic analysis. *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine*. 2001; 125:1442-7.
3. Manning SC. Diagnosis of allergic fungal sinusitis vs a mucocoele. *Archives of Otolaryngology -- Head & Neck Surgery*. 1999; 125:1169.
4. Ponikau JU, Sherris DA, Kern EB, Homburger HA, Frigas E, Gaffey TA, et al. The diagnosis and incidence of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 1999; 74:877-84.
5. Ramadan HH, Quraishi HA. Allergic mucin sinusitis without fungus. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 1997; 11:145-7.

### Diagnosis

1. Graham SM, Ballas ZK. Preoperative steroids confuse the diagnosis of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*. 1998; 101:139-40.
2. Rupa V, Jacob M, Matthews MS. Increasing diagnostic yield in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Journal of Laryngology & Otology*. 2001; 115:636-8.
3. Schnadig VJ, Rassekh CH, Gourley WK. Allergic fungal sinusitis. A report of two cases with diagnosis by intraoperative aspiration cytology. *Acta Cytologica*. 1999; 43:268-72.

### Pathophysiology

1. Khan DA, Cody DT, 2nd, George TJ, Gleich GJ, Leiferman KM. Allergic fungal sinusitis: an immunohistologic analysis. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*. 2000; 106:1096-101.
2. Feger TA, Rupp NT, Kuhn FA, Ford JL, Dolen WK. Local and systemic eosinophil activation in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 1997; 79:221-5.



3. Manning SC, Holman M. Further evidence for allergic pathophysiology in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Laryngoscope*. 1998; 108:1485-96.

### **Fungi**

1. Clark S, Campbell CK, Sandison A, Choa DI. *Schizophyllum commune*: an unusual isolate from a patient with allergic fungal sinusitis. *Journal of Infection*. 1996; 32:147-50.
2. Fryen A, Mayser P, Glanz H, Fussle R, Breithaupt H, de Hoog GS. Allergic fungal sinusitis caused by *Bipolaris (Drechslera) hawaiiensis*. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*. 1999; 256:330-4.
3. Noble JA, Crow SA, Ahearn DG, Kuhn FA. Allergic fungal sinusitis in the southeastern USA: involvement of a new agent *Epicoccum nigrum* Ehrenb. ex Schlecht. 1824. *Journal of Medical & Veterinary Mycology*. 1997; 35:405-9.

### **Allergen studies**

1. Chrzanowski RR, Rupp NT, Kuhn FA, Phillips AE, Dolen WK. Allergenic fungi in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 1997; 79:431-5.
2. McCann WA, Cromie M, Chandler F, Ford J, Dolen WK. Sensitization to recombinant *Aspergillus fumigatus* allergens in allergic fungal sinusitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*. 2002; 89:203-8.
3. Perez-Jaffe LA, Lanza DC, Loevner LA, Kennedy DW, Montone KT. In situ hybridization for *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* in allergic fungal sinusitis: a rapid means of speciating fungal pathogens in tissues. *Laryngoscope*. 1997; 107:233-40.

### **Allergy testing**

Mabry RL, Marple BF, Mabry CS. Mold testing by RAST and skin test methods in patients with allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1999; 121:252-4.

### **Radiology**

1. Fatterpekar G, Mukherji S, Arbealez A, Maheshwari S, Castillo M. Fungal diseases of the paranasal sinuses. *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT & MR*. 1999; 20:391-401.
2. Silverstein AM. Allergic fungal sinusitis: distinguishing osseous invasion. *Radiology*. 1999; 210:283-4.
3. Mukherji SK, Figueroa RE, Ginsberg LE, Zeifer BA, Marple BF, Alley JG, et al. Allergic fungal sinusitis: CT findings. *Radiology*. 1998; 207:417-22.
4. Manning SC, Merkel M, Kriesel K, Vuitch F, Marple B. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance diagnosis of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Laryngoscope*. 1997; 107:170-6.

### **Therapy-general**

1. Marple BF, Mabry RL. Comprehensive management of allergic fungal sinusitis. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 1998; 12:263-8.
2. Kuhn FA, Javer AR. Allergic fungal rhinosinusitis: perioperative management, prevention of recurrence, and role of steroids and antifungal agents. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 2000; 33:419-33.
3. Schubert MS. Antileukotriene therapy for allergic fungal sinusitis. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*. 2001; 108:466-7.

**Therapy-surgery**

1. Marple BF. Allergic fungal rhinosinusitis: surgical management. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 2000; 33:409-19.
2. Mirante JP, Krouse JH, Munier MA, Christmas DA. The role of powered instrumentation in the surgical treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Ear, Nose, & Throat Journal*. 1998; 77:678-80, 82.
3. Quraishi HA, Ramadan HH. Endoscopic treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1997; 117:29-34.

**Therapy-antifungals**

1. Bent JP, 3rd, Kuhn FA. Antifungal activity against allergic fungal sinusitis organisms. *Laryngoscope*. 1996; 106:1331-4.
2. Andes D, Proctor R, Bush RK, Pasic TR. Report of successful prolonged antifungal therapy for refractory allergic fungal sinusitis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2000; 31:202-4.

**Therapy-immunotherapy**

1. Bassichis BA, Marple BF, Mabry RL, Newcomer MT, Schwade ND. Use of immunotherapy in previously treated patients with allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 2001; 125:487-90.
2. Mabry RL, Mabry CS. Allergic fungal sinusitis: the role of immunotherapy. *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America*. 2000; 33:433-40.
3. Mabry RL, Marple BF, Mabry CS. Outcomes after discontinuing immunotherapy for allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 2000; 122:104-6.
4. Folker RJ, Marple BF, Mabry RL, Mabry CS. Treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis: a comparison trial of postoperative immunotherapy with specific fungal antigens. *Laryngoscope*. 1998; 108:1623-7.
5. Mabry RL, Marple BF, Folker RJ, Mabry CS. Immunotherapy for allergic fungal sinusitis: three years' experience. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1998; 119:648-51.
6. Mabry RL, Mabry CS. Immunotherapy for allergic fungal sinusitis: the second year. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1997; 117:367-71.
7. Mabry RL, Manning SC, Mabry CS. Immunotherapy in the treatment of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1997; 116:31-5.
8. Yencha MW. Allergic fungal sinusitis: immunotherapy as part of the treatment plan. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1998; 119:149.
9. Quinn JM, Wickern GM, Whisman BA, Goetz DW. Immunotherapy in allergic Bipolaris sinusitis; a case report. (Abstract). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1995;95:201.

**Natural history, prognosis**

1. Kuhn FA, Javer AR. Allergic fungal sinusitis: a four-year follow-up. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 2000; 14:149-56.
2. Kupferberg SB, Bent JP, 3rd, Kuhn FA. Prognosis for allergic fungal sinusitis. *Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 1997; 117:35-41.
3. Marple BF, Mabry RL. Allergic fungal sinusitis: learning from our failures. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 2000; 14:223-6.

rev. 10/02 wk d

Fungal synonyms from the CBS database

**Moniliaceae***Aspergillus fumigatus***Synonyms**

*A. fumigatus*, var. *fumigatus*, Fresenius  
*A. fumigatus*, var. *acolumnaris*  
*A. phialiseptus*  
*A. anomalus*  
*A. cellulosa*

**Molecular data\***

Asp f 1-4, Asp f 7, Asp f 9, Asp f 13

*Fusarium moniliforme*

*A. fumigatus*, var. *ellipticus*, Roper & Fennell  
syn. of *F. proliferatum*, var. *proliferatum*  
syn. of *F. verticillioides*, (Saccardo) Nirenberg  
*F. celosiae*  
*Oospora cephalosporoides*  
*F. moniliforme* var. *anthophilum*  
*F. anthophilum*  
*F. moniliforme*, var. *minus*  
*F. proliferatum*, var. *minus*

pgA - GenBank

beta tubulin - GenBank

*Penicillium notatum*

syn. of *P. chrysogenum*, Thom  
*P. notatum*, Westling, *P. camerunense*, *P.*  
*cyaneofulvum*, *P. harmonense*, *P.*  
*melagrimum*, *P. baculatum*, *P. brunneorubrum*,  
*P. chlorophaeum*, *P. fluorescens*, *P.*  
*griseoroseum*, *P. roseocitreum*

68 kD allergen - GenBank

**Dematiaceae***Alternaria alternata***Synonyms**

*A. alternata*, (Fries:Fries) von Keissler  
*A. tenuis*, Nees  
*A. fasciculata*, (Cooke & Ellis) Jones & Grout  
*A. mali*, Roberts  
*A. rugosa*, McAlpine  
*C. herbarum*, (Persoon:Fries) Link  
*C. entoxylinum*  
*C. gramineum*  
*C. lunata*, var. *lunata* (Wakker) Boedijn  
alternative state of *Cochliobolus lunatus*  
*C. lunata*, var. *aeria*  
*Malustela aeria*  
*Acrothecium lunatum*  
*Helminthosporium curvulum*

**Molecular data\***

Alt a 1-2; Alt a 6-7, Alt a 10, Alt a 12

*Cladosporium herbarum*

*C. herbarum*, (Persoon:Fries) Link  
*C. entoxylinum*  
*C. gramineum*

Cla h 2-6

*Curvularia lunata*

*C. lunata*, var. *lunata* (Wakker) Boedijn  
alternative state of *Cochliobolus lunatus*  
*C. lunata*, var. *aeria*  
*Malustela aeria*  
*Acrothecium lunatum*  
*Helminthosporium curvulum*

gpd- GenBank (no allergens reported)

*Helminthosporium halodes*  
(see *E. rostratum*)

*H. halodes*, Drechsler  
syn. of *Exserohilum rostratum*  
*Drechslera halodes*  
*D. rostrata*  
*H. rostratum*

(No matches)

*Exserohilum rostratum*

*E. rostratum*, (Drechsler) Leonard & Suggs  
alternative state of *Setosphaeria rostrata*  
*Helminthosporium leptochloae*  
*H. halodes*  
*Drechslera halodes*  
*H. rostratum*  
*D. rostrata*

No matches

*Bipolaris spicifera*

*B. spicifera*, (Bainier) Subramanian  
alternative state of *Cochliobolus spiciferus*  
*Curvularia spicifera*, (Bainier) Boedijn  
*Drechslera spicifera*, (Bainier) von Arx  
*D. tetramera*, (McKinney) Subramanian & Jain  
*Brachycladium spiciferum*, Bainier  
*B. tetramera*, (McKinney) Shoemaker  
*Helminthosporium spiciferum*, (Bainier)  
*H. tetramerum*

Brn1 (GenBank) - article in press  
Allergens not yet reported

## Summary of Comments on Standardized RFI for BMT

Participants in the discussion expressed interest in the following additions/revisions:

### Programmatic Information:

- A-2 Total number of unrelated donor transplants (not just matched)
- A-2 Would like to see volume data over a course of years to see trends in activity.  
Inception date important, total volume, + trend in numbers over previous 3-5 years.
- A-4 Consider splitting the 0-5 age group
- Protocol related (C-1 and C-7)  
Would like a yes/no question - Are all patients managed under a protocol (research or standard of care protocol)?  
If patients are done "off-protocol", how is the decision made?  
How many patients are done "off-protocol" and under what circumstances
- Patient selection (C-1)  
Describe process of patient selection for transplantation - how are variances from protocol handled. Is there a Patient Selection Committee, does it meet regularly, who is on it, are minutes kept, etc  
Include what type of HLA match the transplant center requires for allogeneic transplants
- Protocols (C-7)  
The list of protocols should included the research objectives. Could include Protocol Synopses or Executive Summaries.
- Use the UNOS Transplant Administration Survey to provide general facility data.
- Transplant Team (D-1)  
Change wording to number of years physicians have been actively managing transplant patients. Would like to see number of patients actively managed.  
Change wording to 'Current' % of time managing transplant patients.

### Outcomes Data Template:

- Length of stay defined as number of days as an inpatient during the course of the transplant.
- Has consideration been given to providing data on disease free survival rather than patient survival (this point was addressed by Horowitz and participants concurred that patient survival would be sufficient)
- Include 3 individual years (e.g. 1999, 2000, 2001); change cumulative to cover same 3 year period. \*
- Include first three quarters of preceding year for day 100 data (e.g. 2002 through 9/30/02)
- Suggested using 2002 in Kaplan Meier analysis (this point was addressed by Horowitz and participants concurred that is would be too confusing)

### Timeline to Release to Payers and Transplant Centers:

Committee to discuss suggested revisions and make revisions as appropriate.	(week of 10/28)
Revised documents to be sent to payers for review and comment.	(week of 11/4)
Additional revisions made as appropriate.	
Document presented to ASBMT Executive Committee at ASH.	(week of 12/2)
Release to payers and transplant centers.	(early 2003)